

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,449	11/21/2003	Jack Wang	OP-092000263	8070
46103 7	590 10/31/2005		EXAMINER	
HDSL	S BATTLE LANE	HOFFBERG, ROBERT JOSEPH		
FAIRFAX, VA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2835	
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

rΔ	
V.)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/717,449	WANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert J. Hoffberg	2835			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period fo			NATIVO) OD TUUDTY (20) DAVS			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a replive in the spile and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> .					
	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under \boldsymbol{E}	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) 5-11 is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 4</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 is/a	are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ o	objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached (Office Action of form P10-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	I19(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		eceived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea		acaived			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachme		- 7	(DTO 442)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 2835

Detailed Action

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "first gap" and the "locking member" in Claim 1 are not distinctly shown and must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). Other claims also do not distinctly show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,449 Page 3

Art Unit: 2835

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (US 2003/0106670).

With respect to Claim 1, Lee et al. teaches a fastening structure of a heat sink (Fig. 1, #10) having a plurality of fins (Fig. 1, #14) spaced from each other by a first gap (Fig. 1, #16), the fastening structure comprising a pair of locking members (Fig. 1, #30), and each of the locking members including at least one resilient flap (Fig. 1, #32) to be inserted into one of the first gaps.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US 2003/0106670) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Arrigotti et al. (US 6,734,371).

Art Unit: 2835

With respect to Claim 4, Arrigotti et al. teaches the fastening structure of claim 1 and a pair of hooks (Fig. 1, #42). Lee et al. does not teach a pair of loops engageable with the hooks. Arrigotti et al. teaches a pair of loops (Fig. 1, #48) engageable with the hooks (Fig. 1, #44). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the fastening structure of Lee et al. with that of Arrigotti et al. for the purpose of providing a mounting arrangement for the heat sink to attach to its mating structure.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5-11 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The allowablility resides in the overall structure of the apparatus as recited in independent claim 5, and at least in part, because claim 5 recites a fastening structure including a "fastening structure to secure a heat sink to a heat generating device on a board, the heat sink includes a plurality of vertically extending fins spaced by each other by a first gap" including "a pair of blocking members, each comprising: a horizontal plate; a pair of arms extending from two opposing sides of the horizontal plate, each of the arms terminated with a hook", "a pair of loops formed on the board, the loops being positioned and configured to be engageable with the hooks", "a vertical plate extending perpendicularly from one end of the horizontal plate", "a pair of wings extending from two opposing sides of the vertical plates" and "a pair of resilient flaps extending from top edges of the wings".

Art Unit: 2835

The aforementioned limitations <u>in combination</u> with <u>all</u> remaining limitations of the respective claims are believed to render said independent claim 5 and all claims dependent therefrom patentable over art of record.

The closest references to the present invention are believed to be as follows:

Gerber (US 6,130,821) teaches a fastening device (Fig. 2) to attach a "heat sinks" to a heat generating device on a board which is close to the fastening structure claimed but lacks the structure and arrangement of "loops formed on the board" and "a pair of wings" and "a pair of flaps" as in claim 5. Lee et al. (US 2003/0106670) teaches a fastening structure (Fig. 3) to attach a heat sink to a heat generating device on a board which is close to the fastening structure claimed but lacks the structure and arrangement of "loops formed on the board" and "a pair of wings" and "a pair of flaps" as in claim 5.

- 7. Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The allowablility resides in the overall structure of the apparatus as recited in dependent claim 2, and at least in part, because claim 2 recites a fastening structure including "vertical plate", "the flap extending from a top edge thereof" and "a pair of wings extending from two opposing side edges thereof".
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claim 26 is allowable over the art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest that "the second portion to engage a printed circuit board is a tubular

Art Unit: 2835

member includes threads to threadedly secure said second portion to engage a heat sink and slides within the first portion". The closest references to present invention are believed to be Gerber (US 6,130,821) and Lee et al. (US 2003/0106670) which lack the structure of flap and wings extending from the top edge of the vertical plate.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Arnold et al. (US 4,823,869) teaches a heat sink with a second gap perpendicular to the first gap with the base of the first gap descending toward the outside from the first gap. Chung (US 5,881,800), Blomquist (US 6,061,239), Seo (US 6,181,559), Lo (US 6,370,024) and Hashimoto et al. (US 2002/004424) teach heat sink fastening structures, but they lack the specific structure and arrangement of this application.

None of the cited references, either taken alone or in combination is believed to render the present invention unpatentable as claimed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. Hoffberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-2761. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM Mon - Fri.

Art Unit: 2835

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on (571) 272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RJH

LISA LEA-EDMONDS
PRIMARY EXAMINER